

GENERATED FUZZY IMPLICATIONS

Vladislav Biba

Doctoral Degree Programme (2), FEEC BUT

E-mail: xbibav00@stud.feec.vutbr.cz

Supervised by: Dana Hliněná

E-mail: hlinena@feec.vutbr.cz

ABSTRACT

An implication in MV-logic is a mapping $I : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which is an extension of the classical implication. There are many ways of constructing fuzzy implications. Best known are (S, N) -implications and R -implications. In this paper we deal with the other possibility, which use a function of a single variable. Such construction is well-known in case of the t -norms. We study properties of this type of implications and the connections between our implications and mentioned well-known classes.

1 INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy implications, which are a generalization of the classical two-valued implications to the multi-valued setting, play an important role in many applications. For instance, (S, N) -implications generalize the material implication from the classical logic with a t -conorm instead of disjunction, while R -implications obtained from a t -norm generalize the intuitionistic (residual) logic implication to the framework of fuzzy logic, whereas QL -implications are the fuzzy counterparts of quantum logic implication. Each of these families possesses many different properties.

Definition 1.1 A unary operator $n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy negation if, for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$,

- $x < y \Rightarrow n(y) \leq n(x)$,
- $n(0) = 1, n(1) = 0$.

The negation n is called a *strict negation* if and only if the mapping n is continuous and strictly decreasing. A strict negation is strong if it is an involution. Best known fuzzy negation is $N_s(x) = 1 - x$ called a *Standard negation*.

Best known extension of the conjunction is called a t -norm. (Note that t -norm is not the only possibility of extending classical conjunction.)

Definition 1.2 A triangular norm (*t-norm* for short) is a binary operation on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, i.e., a function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$, the following four axioms are satisfied:

- (T1) Commutativity $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$,
- (T2) Associativity $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$,
- (T3) Monotonicity $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ whenever $y \leq z$,
- (T4) Boundary Condition $T(x, 1) = x$.

Dual operator to a *t-norm* is called a *t-conorm*, denoted S . The operator S can be obtained as $S(x, y) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - y)$. For more information about this topic, see [5].

In the literature, we can find several different definitions of fuzzy implications. We will use the following one, which is equivalent to the definition introduced by Fodor and Roubens in [4]. The readers can obtain some background by reading [2] and [6].

Definition 1.3 A function $I : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy implication if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (I1) I is decreasing in its first variable,
- (I2) I is increasing in its second variable,
- (I3) $I(1, 0) = 0, I(0, 0) = I(1, 1) = 1$.

2 PROPERTIES AND CLASSES OF IMPLICATIONS

In following definition we describe some important properties of fuzzy implications.

Definition 2.1 A fuzzy implication $I : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfies:

(NP) the left neutrality property, or is called left neutral, if

$$I(1, y) = y; \quad y \in [0, 1],$$

(EP) the exchange principle if

$$I(x, I(y, z)) = I(y, I(x, z)) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in [0, 1],$$

(IP) the identity principle if

$$I(x, x) = 1; \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

(OP) the ordering property if

$$x \leq y \iff I(x, y) = 1; \quad x, y \in [0, 1],$$

Definition 2.2 Let $I : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a fuzzy implication. The function N_I defined by $N_I(x) = I(x, 0)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, is called the natural negation of I .

One of the well-known classes of implications is represented by (S,N) -implications, which are based on given t -conorm and negation N .

Definition 2.3 A function $I : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called an (S,N) -implication if there exist a t -conorm S and fuzzy negation N such that

$$I(x,y) = S(N(x),y), \quad x,y \in [0, 1].$$

If N is a strong negation, then I is called a strong implication.

The following characterization of (S,N) -implications is from [1].

Theorem 2.4 (Baczyński and Jayaram [1], Theorem 5.1) For a function $I : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, the following statements are equivalent:

- I is an (S,N) -implication generated from some t -conorm and some continuous (strict, strong) fuzzy negation N .
- I satisfies (I2), (EP) and N_I is a continuous (strict, strong) fuzzy negation.

Another way of extending the classical binary implication operator to the unit interval $[0, 1]$ uses the *residuation* I with respect to a left-continuous triangular norm T

$$I(x,y) = \max\{z \in [0, 1]; T(x,z) \leq y\}.$$

The following characterization of R -implications is from [4].

Theorem 2.5 (Fodor and Roubens [4], Theorem 1.14) For a function $I : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, the following statements are equivalent:

- I is an R -implication based on some left-continuous t -norm T .
- I satisfies (I2), (OP), (EP), and $I(x, \cdot)$ is a right-continuous for any $x \in [0, 1]$.

3 GENERATED IMPLICATIONS

It is well-known that it is possible to generate t -norms from one variable functions. It means that it is enough to consider the one variable function instead of two variable function. Moreover, we can generate implications in a similar way as the t -norms. One of these possibilities is described in the next theorem. We use pseudo-inverse of the function f , which is defined for non-increasing function as $f^{(-1)}(x) = \sup\{z \in [0, 1]; f(z) > x\}$, with the convention $\sup \emptyset = 0$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a strictly decreasing function such that $f(1) = 0$. Then the function $I_f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is given by

$$I_f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ f^{(-1)}(f(y^+) - f(x)) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $f(y^+) = \lim_{y \rightarrow y^+} f(y)$ and $f(1^+) = f(1)$ is a fuzzy implication.

Proof can be found in [3]. Now we turn our attention to the relations with (S,N) - and R -implications and our implications I_f .

Proposition 3.2 *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a strictly decreasing function such that $f(1) = 0$, then I_f satisfies IP and NP. Moreover, f is continuous in $x = 1$ if and only if I_f satisfies OP.*

Directly from Definition 2.1 and the following equivalence for the strictly decreasing function f :

$$f^{(-1)}(x_0) = 1 \iff x_0 \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x) = f(1^-),$$

we get the condition for IP and NP. If f is discontinuous in $x = 1$, then $\exists x_0 > 0; f^{(-1)}(x_0) = 1$. Now $\exists x, y \in [0, 1]; x > y \wedge f(y^+) - f(x) < x_0$, which leads to $I_f(x, y) = 1$ i.e. violation of OP. See [3] for closer explanation.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a continuous strictly decreasing function such that $f(1) = 0$, then the implication I_f satisfies EP.*

Again, proof can be found in [3]. Since in previous the proposition we have the continuous function f and the EP is needed for both R - and (S,N) -implications, we assume continuous f also in the following proposition:

Proposition 3.4 *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, c]$ be a continuous bounded function such that $f(1) = 0$, then the negation N_{I_f} based on I_f is strong negation.*

The last theorem of this section is a corollary of previous propositions and Theorems 2.4, 2.5.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a continuous strictly decreasing function such that $f(1) = 0$, then I_f is an R -implication given by some left-continuous t -norm, and more if $f(0) < \infty$, then I_f is an (S,N) -implication, too.*

Example 3.6 *Let us have a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, given by $f(x) = (1-x)^2$. The implication I_f is*

$$I_f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \leq y, \\ 1 - \sqrt{(1-y)^2 - (1-x)^2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This I_f is actually an R -implication given by the t -norm $T(x, y) = \max(0, 1 - \sqrt{(1-x)^2 + (1-y)^2})$: An R -implication I is given by $I(x, y) = \max\{z \in [0, 1]; T(x, z) \leq y\}$. Since $T(x, 1) = x$ if $x \leq y$, obviously $I(x, y) = 1$. In case $x > y$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max(0, 1 - \sqrt{(1-x)^2 + (1-z)^2}) &\leq y, \\ (1-x)^2 + (1-z)^2 &\leq (1-y)^2, \\ z &= 1 - \sqrt{(1-y)^2 - (1-x)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore the R -implication I is our implication I_f . Note that T is an Archimedean t -norm with the generator f .

By the previous theorem, I_f is also an (S, N) -implication. Therefore there is a question, which t -conorm S and negation n produce this implication:

Because of the Theorem 2.4 and the axiom (S4) $S(x, 0) = x$, we have that n is actually a natural negation given by I_f , i.e. $n(x) = 1 - \sqrt{2x - x^2}$. If the t -conorm S is given by

$$S(x, y) = 1 - \sqrt{\max((x - 2) \cdot x + 1 - 2y + y^2, 0)},$$

mapping $S(n(x), y)$ is implication I_f . This t -conorm can be obtained using the natural negation of I_f and the t -norm T as

$$S(x, y) = n(T(n(x), n(y))).$$

4 CONCLUSIONS

Findings in the previous sections allows to make the following characterization of the implications I_f :

- Let f be a bounded and continuous function, then I_f is R - and (S, N) -implication.
- Let f be a continuous and not bounded, then I_f is R - but not (S, N) -implication.
- There exists (not-continuous) functions f violating EP. Therefore some I_f are neither R - nor (S, N) -implications.

The I_f implications are the best explored generated implications to-date, but there are also other possibilities to define the implication using the function of one variable. We continue our research on this topic.

REFERENCES

- [1] BACZYŃSKI, M., BALASUBRAMANIAM, J.: *On the characterizations of (S, N) -implications* Fuzzy Sets and Systems 158 (2007), 1713–1727.
- [2] BACZYŃSKI, M., BALASUBRAMANIAM, J.: *Fuzzy implications* (Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Vol. 231), Springer, Berlin (2008)
- [3] BIBA, V., HLINĚNÁ, D.: *Generated fuzzy implications and known classes of implications* Acta Universitatis Matthiae Belii, to appear
- [4] FODOR, J. C., ROUBENS, M.: *Fuzzy Preference Modeling and Multicriteria Decision Support* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1994.
- [5] KLEMENT, E. P., MESIAR, R., PAP, E.: *Triangular Norms* Kluwer, Dordrecht 2000.
- [6] MAS, M., MONSERRAT, M., TORRENS, J., TRILLAS, E.: *A survey on fuzzy implication functions* IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems 15 (6) 1107–1121, (2007).
- [7] SMUTNÁ, D.: *On many valued conjunctions and implications* Journal of Electrical Engineering, 10/s, vol. 50, 1999, 8–10
- [8] SCHWEIZER, B., SKLAR, A.: *Probabilistic Metric Spaces* North Holland, New York, 1983